

hep-th/9401126
Brown-HET-929
January 1994

High Energy String Collisions in a Compact Space

PAUL F. MENDE[★]

*Department of Physics
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island 02912*

ABSTRACT

When high energy strings scatter at fixed angle, their amplitudes characteristically fall off exponentially with energy, $\mathcal{A} \sim \exp(-s \times \text{const.})$. We show that in a compact space this suppression disappears for certain kinematic configurations. Amplitudes are power-law behaved and therefore greatly enhanced. In spacetime this corresponds to fixed-angle scattering, with fixed transfer in the compact dimensions. On the worldsheet this process is described by a stationary configuration of effective charges and vortices with vanishing total energy. It is worldsheet duality—and not spacetime duality—that plays a role.

[★] Research supported by an SSC Fellowship, TNRLC #FCFY9322, and by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-AC02-76-ER03130. E-mail: mende@het.brown.edu

1. Introduction

Strings differ from particles in two crucial respects: at short distance they have internal structure, and at long distance they are sensitive to the global structure of spacetime. The first property is fundamental to a meaningful theory of quantum gravity and shows up in high energy processes. The second plays a role in compactifications, symmetry breaking, and thermodynamics. The first derives from the tower of massive string modes; the second derives from string zero modes.

In this paper we study scattering amplitudes for string winding states at high energy, and show that new behavior—absent for unwound strings—can arise for certain configurations. Compactifying dimensions on an internal manifold of size of the order of the Planck length or larger has many well-known advantages for low-energy phenomenology, and is obviously important if we hope to use critical string theory to describe our four-dimensional world. The question we address here is how the existence of compactified dimensions affects the interactions of the string at its natural scale.

The high-energy limit of string theory is not only the most interesting place to look for “stringy” effects likely to be characteristic of quantum gravity, but also a limit which can be analyzed in great detail [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].[★] The amplitudes for closed and open[11] string scattering can be computed to all orders in perturbations theory, and the full perturbation theory can be resummed in closed form using Borel techniques[12]. Moreover, high energies yield the semi-classical limit of string theory, and many interactions can be understood in terms of a “master trajectory” which solves the classical equations of motion[12].

More recently, there has been greatly renewed interest in formulating QCD as a string theory. One of the obstacles to this program has always been that while string theory shares the Regge behavior observed of hadrons in fixed momentum transfer scattering, it departs dramatically in fixed angle scattering. Indeed, the

[★] Small low energy effects signalling internal structure and affecting the equivalence principle were discussed in [10].

very softness of the string that makes it such a successful quantum extension of general relativity makes it unable to describe parton-like behavior: string amplitudes fall exponentially with energy instead of as a power law. Had QCD not been developed this fact would have been enough to eventually kill off strings as the theory of strong interactions.

Green has proposed a clever generalization of the ordinary bosonic string[13,14] in which boundaries are included on the string world sheets. The Dirichlet conditions imposed on these boundaries effectively map a finite part of the world sheet onto a single spacetime point, thereby creating pointlike interactions and a power law amplitude at high energies, while the long-distance behavior is unaffected. This proposal, still under investigation[15, 16, 17, 18], has made clear the importance of understanding the full range of high energy behaviors that could arise from string theory.

The power law amplitude described below represent a totally different way of obtaining non-exponential behavior, though not one likely to have application to QCD — the origin has no obvious connection with short distance spacetime physics. Rather, as it comes about in a compactified space, it may be of relevance of string thermodynamics and cosmology (e.g., in extending the cosmological calculations of [19,20] to include the detailed cross sections to account for unusually sharp energy and angle dependence).

It has been thought for some time that string theory might contain a more symmetric structure, visible at high energies, and which is realized in a broken-symmetry phase in the conventional formulation (as advocated, e.g., in [21, 7]). We do not offer any decisive evidence for or against this interesting conjecture, but hope by the present study to become more familiar with the structure of string theory in this decidedly unfamiliar energy regime.

Recall that for the scattering of tachyons in the bosonic string, the G -loop

amplitude is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_G(p_i) = \int \mathcal{D}g \mathcal{D}X e^{-I[X,g] + i \int P \cdot X} \quad (1.1)$$

where $X^\mu(\xi)$ is the spacetime trajectory of the string; the string action is the invariant area of the worldsheet,

$$I[X, g] = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\xi \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X_\mu; \quad (1.2)$$

$g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric on the punctured worldsheet of genus G ; and P is a source for the particles, $P^\mu(\xi) = \sum p_i^\mu \delta^{(2)}(\xi, \xi_i)$.

When all of the momenta get large the path integral is dominated by a classical trajectory and can be studied semiclassically[1, 2]. This is easy to see: define rescaled string coordinates and momenta by

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{X}{\sqrt{s}}, \quad \tilde{p}_i = \frac{p_i}{\sqrt{s}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}_G(s; \tilde{p}_i) = \int \mathcal{D}g \mathcal{D}\tilde{X} \exp \left\{ s \left(-I[\tilde{X}, g] + i \int \tilde{P} \cdot \tilde{X} \right) \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Thus the $s \rightarrow \infty$, or equivalently $M_{Planck} \rightarrow 0$, limit corresponds to the semiclassical limit of string theory[7], with the dominant contribution coming from the trajectory

$$\tilde{X}^\mu(\xi) = \sum_i \tilde{p}_i^\mu G(\xi, \xi_i) \quad (1.5)$$

where $G(\xi, \xi')$ is the Green function for the action $I[\tilde{X}, g]$.

Evaluating the action at the stationary point gives the dominant behavior,

$$\mathcal{A}_G \sim \exp\left(-\frac{s}{G+1}\right), \quad (1.6)$$

for the amplitude at genus G . The exponent is the effective electrostatic energy of a collection of “charges” p_i at the points ξ_i on the worldsheet,

$$\mathcal{E} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum p_i \cdot p_j G(\xi_i, \xi_j). \quad (1.7)$$

There are two reasons one might naively believe that compact dimensions do not affect the basic picture of high-energy interactions. First, if we scatter any fast-moving states with energies E much greater than M_{Planck} , we expect that they will probe short wavelengths much less than the compactification radius, typically $\lesssim 1/M_{Planck}$. That is, at high energies the compact dimensions look big, and the strings are not sensitive to the compactification.

One can see this in more detail from the following observation. If the background is a product of flat non-compact spacetime with an *arbitrary* conformal field theory of appropriate central charge, the vertex operators are of the form

$$\int d^2z e^{ip \cdot X} \mathcal{P}(\partial^m X, \bar{\partial}^n X) \Phi(z, \bar{z}), \quad (1.8)$$

where Φ is an operator in the conformal field theory and $\mathcal{P}$ is a polynomial in the field derivatives. In the high-energy limit, the momentum dependence arising from $\mathcal{P}$ insertions does not shift the stationary point, and its correlators as well as those of Φ , which are momentum-independent, can simply be evaluated at the stationary point, which depends only on the universal factor $e^{ip \cdot X}$. Thus only the non-universal prefactors are modified.

The second reason is that it might seem that taking a large winding number for the scattering states is equivalent to letting the radius of the compact dimensions go to infinity. Then not only is the higher dimensional space recovered, but the

symmetry group as well. This means that Lorentz generators could mix internal momenta with the time direction, and the internal kinematics then becomes trivial since one can boost to a center of mass frame. We will see how these expectations fall short of the mark.

In the next section we show that for certain winding configurations the fixed-angle scattering amplitudes are exponentially enhanced. This follows from simple properties of the world sheet physics. In the following section, we describe the spacetime kinematics in detail. Finally, we offer a discussion of the results and some speculations on possible interpretation.

2. Gone with the wind

Consider now a bosonic string moving in a space with some dimensions compactified on a torus. The vertex operator for the creation of a moving, wound string is

$$V(p^L, p^R) = \int d^2z \exp \left\{ ip^L \cdot X(z) + ip^R \cdot X(\bar{z}) \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the left and right momenta are defined in terms of the momentum and winding vectors, $p_\mu^L = \frac{1}{2}(p_\mu + L_\mu)$, $p_\mu^R = \frac{1}{2}(p_\mu - L_\mu)$. Therefore the amplitude, at tree level, for the scattering of N strings is of the form of the integral of a holomorphic times an antiholomorphic function,

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \prod_i d^2z_i \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{p_i^L p_j^L} \prod_{i < j} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j)^{p_i^R p_j^R} \quad (2.2)$$

$$= \int \prod_i d^2z_i \prod_{i < j} |z_i - z_j|^{p_i p_j / 2 + L_i L_j / 2} \prod_{i < j} \left(\frac{z_i - z_j}{\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j} \right)^{p_i L_j + p_j L_i}. \quad (2.3)$$

The amplitude has the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \prod d^2z_i \exp \left\{ -\mathcal{E}_L(z) - \mathcal{E}_R(\bar{z}) \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us recall that one can regard the effective action $\mathcal{E}_L + \mathcal{E}_R$ as the electromagnetic energy of a collection of charges and vortices on the worldsheet, where at point z_i

there is an object with electric charge p_i and magnetic charge L_i , and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_L(z) &= - \sum_{i < j} p_i^L \cdot p_j^L \log(z_i - z_j), \\ \mathcal{E}_R(\bar{z}) &= - \sum_{i < j} p_i^R \cdot p_j^R \log(\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j).\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

(The fact that p_i and L_i are vectors rather than real numbers, and furthermore can have non-positive inner product, will haunt us shortly. The picture is nonetheless helpful.)

Electromagnetic duality on the two-dimensional worldsheet results in the symmetry of Eq. (2.3) under the formal interchange of windings and momenta, $p_i \leftrightarrow L_i$. Observe that the energy of a set of pure magnetic vortices ($p_i = 0$) has the same form as the energy of a set of pure electric charges ($L_i = 0$). This symmetry is only formal, however: since time is not compact the mass-shell condition (required for conformal invariance) breaks it. In other words, this duality is not useful for scattering amplitudes because it gives relations among unphysical processes.

As we pass to the high-energy limit, the introduction of winding states dramatically changes the physics. When *all* of the momentum invariants, $p_i^L \cdot p_j^L$ and $p_i^R \cdot p_j^R$, get large simultaneously, the integral is dominated by a stationary point, given by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_L(z)}{\partial z_i} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_R(\bar{z})}{\partial \bar{z}_i} = 0.\tag{2.6}$$

This system of equations is in general overdetermined. Witten[21] observed that in the general case a stationary point exists only if one takes the coordinates z_i and $\bar{z}_i$ to be independent complex variables, rather than complex conjugates, leading to a description of the amplitude in terms of independent moduli for the left and right moving degrees of freedom of the string.

Consider the physics of several special cases. If the strings are all unwound, $L_i = 0$, then $\mathcal{E}_L = \mathcal{E}_R$ and Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) reduce to the familiar Koba-Nielsen

form: the integrand is the absolute value of an analytic function. Eqs. (2.6) now have a solution since they are identical.

Observe that this also occurs if the momenta and windings are chosen such that $p_i \cdot L_j = 0$ for all i and j . The second factor of (2.3) drops out and again $p_i^L \cdot p_j^L = p_i^R \cdot p_j^R$. This case was considered by Polchinski, who used (2.2) to compute the total cross-section for macroscopic fundamental strings[22]. (Winding string amplitudes were also studied by Khuri[23].)

Another possibility is to take self-dual windings: Then $p_i^R = 0$, $\mathcal{E}_R = 0$, and the integrand is holomorphic, involving the integrand that appears for the open string. Witten identified the string trajectories of this case with the instantons of the two-dimensional topological sigma model[21]. Unfortunately this intriguing case cannot be realized without compactifying time or violating the mass-shell condition.

Consider now what happens if one sets

$$p_i^L \cdot p_j^L = -p_i^R \cdot p_j^R, \quad (2.7)$$

which makes the first factor of (2.3) drop out. The integrand is pure phase, a holomorphic function divided by its conjugate.

For such strings two remarkable facts emerge:

- (1) there is a real solution to the saddle point condition Eq. (2.6), and
- (2) at the stationary point, *the string action is zero!*

Indeed, using (2.7) in (2.5) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_L = -\mathcal{E}_R \equiv \mathcal{E}, \quad (2.8)$$

in which case the effective action $\mathcal{E}(z) - \mathcal{E}(\bar{z})$ looks purely magnetic in form.[★]

★ More generally, Eq. (2.6) has solutions if the left and right energies are proportional, $\mathcal{E}_R = \alpha \mathcal{E}_L$. For momentum states and for the strings of ref. [22], $\alpha = 1$; for the self-dual case of ref. [21], $\alpha = 0$; and for the magnetic case here, $\alpha = -1$.

The amplitudes can be read off from comparison with pure tachyon scattering amplitudes, since only the sign of $\mathcal{E}_R$ differs. The equations (2.6) determining the stationary point are identical, as are the (real) functions $\mathcal{E}_L(z)$ and $\mathcal{E}_R(\bar{z})$ evaluated at the stationary point. But instead of adding in the exponent, they cancel: $\mathcal{E}_L + \mathcal{E}_R = 0$, and there is no exponential dependence on the energy at all:

$$\mathcal{A} \sim e^{-\mathcal{E}_L - \mathcal{E}_R} \sim \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (2.9)$$

The amplitude is therefore dominated by the prefactors and the fluctuations around the saddle point, and behaves as a power law function of the momenta only.

3. Kinematic considerations

To be concrete, consider four-point scattering of bosonic string states given by (2.1). We take the 26 dimensions of the string background to be a product space of Minkowski space and a toroidal internal space.[†]

First we establish kinematic notation: Let the momentum of a string be $p = (E, \vec{p}, \vec{K})$, where E is the energy, $\vec{p}$ is the momentum in the non-compact dimensions, and $\vec{K}$ is the internal momentum vector. The winding vector of the string is $L = (0, 0, 2\vec{L})$. (The unconventional factor of 2 is introduced here to keep the formulas symmetric.)

Since there are no oscillators excited in these states, the mass shell condition for the state reads

$$(p^L)^2 = (p^R)^2 = 2. \quad (3.1)$$

From $(p^L)^2 = (p^R)^2$, we learn that $\vec{K} \cdot \vec{L} = 0$. From $(p^L)^2 = 2$, we get the equation for the mass: $p^2 + L^2 = 8$. To an observer in the uncompactified space the energy

[†] The respective dimensions are unimportant, provided that the internal space has dimension at least equal to six. With fewer dimensions, there are additional constraints on the number of independent internal kinematic invariants that can be formed.

is given by

$$(\text{mass})^2 = \vec{K}^2 + \vec{L}^2 - 8, \quad (3.2)$$

which grows as the components of $\vec{K}, \vec{L}$ increase.

Define left and right generalizations of the usual Mandelstam variables in the obvious way: $s_L = -(p_1^L + p_2^L)^2$, *etc.*. They satisfy

$$s_L + t_L + u_L = -8 = s_R + t_R + u_R. \quad (3.3)$$

Then let $S \equiv s_R - s_L = p_1 \cdot L_2 + p_2 \cdot L_1$, and similarly for T and U , which satisfy

$$S + T + U = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Finally, let λ_{ij} be the angle between $\vec{L}_i$ and $\vec{L}_j$, κ_{ij} be the angle between $\vec{K}_i$ and $\vec{K}_j$, and π_{ij} be the angle between $\vec{p}_i$ and $\vec{p}_j$.

Now it is simple algebra to show that Eq. (2.7) is actually impossible because the winding vectors have purely Euclidean signature. It is equivalent to

$$p_i \cdot p_j + L_i \cdot L_j = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

which can only be satisfied if some of the lengths $|\vec{p}| < 0$.

Nevertheless the saddle point problem is unaffected if we can satisfy Eqs. (2.7) and (3.5) approximately,

$$p_i^L \cdot p_j^L + p_i^R \cdot p_j^R \approx 0, \quad (3.6)$$

so that in the analog electromagnetic problem the energy is held finite as the charges become infinite. To be precise, let

$$p_i^L \cdot p_j^L + p_i^R \cdot p_j^R = c_{ij}, \quad (3.7)$$

where the magnitude of each term on the left hand side goes to infinity, and the c_{ij} are constants satisfying (i) $c_{12} < 0$; (ii) $c_{13}, c_{14} > -4$; and (iii) $c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{14} = -4$.

This can be done in various ways. For example, we let the magnitudes of the internal vectors $|\vec{K}_i|, |\vec{L}_i| \rightarrow \infty$, while the angles are scaled as $\lambda_{12}^2 \sim -2c_{12}/(|\vec{L}_1||\vec{L}_2|)$, $\kappa_{12}^2 \sim -2c_{12}/(|\vec{K}_1||\vec{K}_2|)$. The t -channel angles scale as $\lambda_{13} \sim \pi - \left((2c_{13} + 8)/(|\vec{L}_1||\vec{L}_3|)\right)^{1/2}$, $\kappa_{13} \sim \pi - \left((2c_{13} + 8)/(|\vec{K}_1||\vec{K}_3|)\right)^{1/2}$.

Using this parameterization of the limit (and $SL(2, C)$ invariance to fix three variables as usual) the amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \int d^2z |z|^{c_{13}} |1-z|^{c_{14}} \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^T \left(\frac{1-z}{1-\bar{z}}\right)^U \\ &= \int d^2z |z|^{c_{13}} |1-z|^{c_{14}} \exp -S \left\{ \frac{T}{S} \log \frac{z}{\bar{z}} + \frac{U}{S} \log \frac{1-z}{1-\bar{z}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In the high-energy limit (that is, $S, T, U \rightarrow \infty$, while $T/U, c_{ij}$, etc., are fixed) the integral is dominated by the stationary point at $\hat{z} = -T/S$, yielding[★]

$$\mathcal{A} = S^{-1} \hat{z} (1 - \hat{z}) |\hat{z}|^{c_{13}} |1 - \hat{z}|^{c_{14}}, \quad (3.9)$$

up to corrections of $\mathcal{O}(1/S^2)$, or in crossing-symmetric form

$$\mathcal{A} = (STU)^{-5/3} |S^{c_{12}} T^{c_{13}} U^{c_{14}}|. \quad (3.10)$$

★ At tree level, a four-point function of the form (2.2) can also be evaluated using a formula of Ref. [24, appendix A]

4. Discussion

The result (3.9) should be compared with the behavior of high-energy scattering of unwound strings, $\mathcal{A} \sim \exp(-s)$ for fixed angle, and $\mathcal{A} \sim s^{2+t/4}$ for fixed t . The result superficially resembles the Regge behavior of the latter. But like the former, the dominant contribution comes from regions in the middle of the moduli space (*i.e.*, from non-degenerate Feynman graphs). For these scattering processes, the amplitude is greatly enhanced relative to the characteristic exponential string falloff.

This result may be extended to higher genus as well, along the lines of [1, 2]. The stationary condition will apply as well on Riemann surfaces of $N = G + 1$ sheets, of the form

$$y^N = \prod_{i=1}^4 (z - z_i)^{L_i} \quad (4.1)$$

That is, precisely the same Riemann surfaces are saddle points for both the winding state and momentum state amplitudes.[†] Now observe that the operators located at the branch points of the Riemann surface behave as vortex operators, rather than electric charges, but by electromagnetic duality, the electrostatic and magnetostatic configurations are simply related.

The rest of the calculations of [1, 2] may be carried out, with the principal difference arising in the phase of the determinant of fluctuations about the stationary point, which now changes sign, so that all terms in the perturbative series appear with the same sign. It was precisely this sign which made the high-energy amplitude Borel summable[12], and this property is now lost.

To further explore the physics of strings in Kaluza-Klein spacetimes, it would be interesting to compute, for example, branching ratios for the production of high energy states into wound versus unwound states. This cannot be done for the

[†] We do not have a simple argument to show that these stationary points are dominant, as for the case of unwound strings, but we assume this to be the case.

case of the four-point amplitudes: only trivial winding/antiwinding pairs can be produced, so it is necessary to go to higher point functions. The kinematics for two initial unwound strings requires that $s_L = s_R$.

In considering higher point functions, other possibilities emerge as well. (Such amplitudes have recently been explored by Moore[9].) For four points, the saddle point equations are linear and have a single solution. For M points, they are degree $M - 3$, with as many solutions. It might be possible to consider cases where different solutions to the left and right equations are combined.

The spacetime trajectory corresponding to the scattering is given by

$$X^\mu(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(p_i^\mu \log |z - a_i|^2 + L_i^\mu \log \left(\frac{z - a_i}{\bar{z} - \bar{a}_i} \right) \right). \quad (4.2)$$

How are we to understand this behavior? Gross[25] has suggested that the exponential behavior of the fixed-order amplitudes,

$$\mathcal{A}(s) \sim e^{-\alpha'(\text{const.})s}, \quad (4.3)$$

indicates a suppression typical of a classically forbidden process,

$$\mathcal{A} \sim e^{-I/\hbar}. \quad (4.4)$$

If this is the case, then the scattering of winding states corresponds to *classically allowed* processes in spacetime.

How might string trajectories shed light on the conjectured topological phase of string theory? An analogy from quantum mechanics may be suggestive. Suppose

we wish to compute the ground state energy of the anharmonic oscillator,

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + gx^4, \quad (4.5)$$

from the Euclidean functional integral

$$Z(T, g) = \int \mathcal{D}X \exp \left\{ - \int_0^T dt \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2} x^2 + gx^4 \right) \right\}. \quad (4.6)$$

As $T \rightarrow \infty$, $E_0 \sim -(\log Z)/T$. One can expand perturbatively in powers of the coupling g and following [26, 27] exponentiate the interaction vertices to write

$$Z(T, g) = \sum_{G=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-g)^G}{G!} Z_G(T), \quad (4.7)$$

$$Z_G(T) = \int \mathcal{D}X \exp \left\{ -I_0 + G \log \int_0^T dt x^4 \right\}.$$

At large order, the path integral Z_G is dominated by a saddle point trajectory where the effective action is stationary:

$$\ddot{x} = x - 4x^3 \frac{G}{J[x]} \quad (4.8)$$

where $J[x] \equiv \int_0^T dt x^4$. By rescaling,

$$x = z \left(\frac{J[x]}{G} \right)^{1/2} = z \left(\frac{G}{J[z]} \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.9)$$

the equation of motion for the trajectory *becomes independent of G* :

$$\ddot{z} = z - 4z^3. \quad (4.10)$$

The resulting perturbative series for $Z(T, g)$ is divergent, but of alternating signs and hence Borel summable. (The origin of the divergence is the illegal change of

order of summation and path integration in Eq. (4.7). For fixed g , large values of $x(t)$ always dominate, so one cannot simply integrate term by term.) Therefore we find the following situation, analogous to string theory (cf. [2, 12]:

1. The amplitude is expressed as a perturbative sum of path integrals.
2. Each integral is dominated by a saddle point trajectory.
3. These trajectories *are the same at each order of perturbation theory*, up to a scale.
4. The resulting series is divergent, but Borel summable!

Now in particle quantum mechanics we have the Lagrangian and a well-defined non-perturbative formulation of the amplitude, so we can see what features of the full theory are suggested by the perturbative analysis.

In the full theory the potential is $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + gx^4$, and the Euclidean equation of motion is

$$\ddot{x} = x + 4gx^3. \tag{4.11}$$

For $g < 0$, this is the saddle point equation (4.10). Therefore the saddle point trajectories are the instantons of the opposite phase of theory. Perhaps then, as hoped in tree-level comparison with topological sigma models of ref. [21], similar relations hold in string theory and might be elucidated by further investigation of spacetime string trajectories.

REFERENCES

1. D.J. Gross and P.F. Mende, *High energy behavior of string scattering amplitudes*, *Phys. Lett.* **197B** (1987) 129
2. D.J. Gross and P.F. Mende, *String theory beyond the Planck scale*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B303** (1988) 407
3. D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni, and G. Veneziano, *Superstring collisions at planckian energies*, *Phys. Lett.* **B197** (1987) 81; *Classical and quantum gravity effects from planckian energy superstring collisions*, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* **A3** (1988) 1615; *Can spacetime be probed below the string size?* *Phys. Lett.* **B216** (1989) 41;
G. Veneziano, *An enlarged uncertainty principle from Gedanken string collisions?* Preprint CERN-TH. 5366/89
4. M. Soldate, *Partial wave unitarity and closed string amplitudes*, *Phys. Lett.* **186B** (1987) 321
5. I. Muzinich and M. Soldate, *High-energy unitarity of gravitation and strings*, *Phys. Rev.* **D37** (1988) 359
6. B. Sundborg, *High energy asymptotics: the one-loop string amplitude and resummation*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306** (1988) 545
7. D.J. Gross, *High energy symmetries of string theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 1229
8. *The zero tension limit of the spinning string*, *Phys. Lett.* **B258** (1991) 331
9. G. Moore, *Symmetries of the bosonic string S-matrix*, hep-th/9310026, YCTP-P19-93
10. P.F. Mende, *String theory at short distance and the principle of equivalence*, hep-th/9210001, in *String Quantum Gravity and Physics at the Planck Energy Scale*, (Erice workshop, 21–28 June, 1992), N. Sanchez, ed., World Scientific 1993

11. D.J. Gross and J.L. Mañes, *High energy behavior of open string scattering*, Preprint PUPT-1116, 1989
12. P.F. Mende and H. Ooguri, *Borel summation of string theory for Planck scale scattering*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B339** (1990) 641
13. M.B. Green, *Space-time duality and the Dirichlet string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B266** (1991) 325
14. M.B. Green, *The influence of world sheet boundaries on critical closed string theory*, *hep-th/9212085 Phys. Lett.* **B302** (1993) 29
15. M. Li, *Dirichlet strings*, *hep-th/9307122*, Brown-HET-915, 1993
16. M. Li, *Dirichlet string theory and singular random surfaces*, *hep-th/9309108*, Brown-HET-923, 1993
17. Z. Yang, *Asymptotic freedom and Dirichlet string theory*, *hep-th/9211092*, UR-1288, 1992
18. M.B. Green, *Temperature dependence of string theory in the presence of world sheet boundaries*, *hep-th/9201054, Phys. Lett.* **B282** (1992) 380
19. R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, *Superstrings in the early universe*, *Nucl. Phys.* **316** (1989) 391
20. A.A. Tseytlin and C. Vafa, *Elements of string cosmology*, *hep-th/9109048, Nucl. Phys.* **B372** (1992) 443
21. E. Witten, *Space-time and topological orbifolds*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 670
22. J. Polchinski, *Collision of macroscopic fundamental strings*, *Phys. Lett.* **209B** (1988) 252
23. R.R. Khuri, *Veneziano amplitude for winding strings*, *hep-th/9303074, Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 2823
24. D. Gross, J. Harvey, E. Martinec, and R. Rohm, *Heterotic string theory II*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B267** (1986) 75

- 25. D.J. Gross, *Superstrings and unification*, Preprint PUPT-1108, 1988; *Strings at superplanckian energies*, Preprint PUPT-1117, 1988
- 26. L. N. Lipatov, *Divergence of the perturbation theory series and the quasi-classical theory*, Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 216 (*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **72** (1977) 411)
- 27. E. Brézin, J.-C. LeGuillou and J. Zinn-Justin, *Perturbation theory at large order*, *Phys. Rev.* **D15** (1977) 1544; **D15** (1977) 1558